1. Carefully define the following terms: subset, intersection, De Morgan’s Law (for sets).

Let $A, B$ be sets. We say that $A$ is a subset of $B$ if every element of $A$ is an element of $B$. Let $A, B$ be sets. The intersection of $A, B$ is the set $\{x : x \in A \land x \in B\}$. De Morgan’s Law states: Let $A, B, U$ be sets, with $A \subseteq U$ and $B \subseteq U$. Then $(A \cup B)^c = A^c \cap B^c$, and $(A \cap B)^c = A^c \cup B^c$.

2. Carefully define the following terms: cardinality, set of departure, irreflexive.

Let $A$ be a set. The cardinality of $A$ is the number of elements that $A$ contains. Given sets $A, B$, and $R \subseteq A \times B$, the set of departure of $R$ is $A$. Given a relation $R$ on a set $A$, we say that $R$ is irreflexive if, for all $a \in A$, $(a, a) \notin R$.

3. Prove, using definitions, that for all sets $A, B$, we have $(A \cup B) \setminus (A \cap B) \subseteq A \Delta B$.

This is half of Theorem 8.12a; citing this theorem is not a proof using definitions. Let $x \in (A \cup B) \setminus (A \cap B)$. Then $(x \in A \cup B) \land (x \notin A \cap B)$. By simplification twice, $x \in A \cup B$ and $x \notin A \cap B$. Since $x \notin A \cap B$, $\lnot(x \in A \land x \in B)$; i.e., $x \notin A \lor x \notin B$ ($\star$). Since $x \in A \cup B$, $x \in A \lor x \in B$. We have two cases, $x \in A$ and $x \in B$.

Case 1: If $x \in A$, by modus ponens with ($\star$), $x \notin B$. By conjunction, $x \in A \land x \notin B$, and by addition $(x \in A \land x \notin B) \lor (x \in B \land x \notin A)$.

Case 2: If $x \in B$, by modus ponens with ($\star$), $x \notin A$. By conjunction, $x \in B \land x \notin A$, and by addition $(x \in A \land x \notin B) \lor (x \in B \land x \notin A)$.

In both cases, $(x \in A \land x \notin B) \lor (x \in B \land x \notin A)$ and hence $x \in A \Delta B$.

4. Prove or disprove: For all sets $A, B, C$ with $A \subseteq B$, $B \subseteq C$, and $C \subseteq A$, we must have $A = C$.

The statement is true, and is proved in two parts, $C \subseteq A$ and $A \subseteq C$. To prove $C \subseteq A$ is easy, as it is a hypothesis. To prove $A \subseteq C$, let $x \in A$ be arbitrary. Since $A \subseteq B$, we have $x \in B$. Since $B \subseteq C$, we have $x \in C$.

5. Prove or disprove: For all sets $A, B$, we must have $2^A \cup 2^B = 2^{A \cup B}$.

The statement is false. Many counterexamples are possible, such as: Let $A = \{x\}$, $B = \{y, z\}$. We must prove that $2^A \cup 2^B \neq 2^{A \cup B}$, which must be done with a counterexample within this counterexample.

METHOD 1: Set $\alpha = \{x, z\}$. $\alpha \subseteq A \cup B$, so $\alpha \in 2^{A \cup B}$. However, $\alpha \not\subseteq A$, so $\alpha \notin 2^A$. Also, $\alpha \not\subseteq B$, so $\alpha \notin 2^B$. By conjunction, $\alpha \notin 2^A \land \alpha \notin 2^B$. By De Morgan’s Law (for propositions), $\lnot(\alpha \in 2^A \lor \alpha \in 2^B)$, or $\lnot(\alpha \in 2^A \cup 2^B)$. Hence $\alpha \notin 2^A \cup 2^B$.

METHOD 2: We explicitly calculate $2^A = \{\emptyset, \{x\}\}$, $2^B = \{\emptyset, \{y\}, \{z\}, \{y, z\}\}$, and $2^{A \cup B} = \{\emptyset, \{x\}, \{y\}, \{z\}, \{x, y\}, \{x, z\}, \{y, z\}\}$. We also calculate $2^A \cup 2^B = \{\emptyset, \{x\}, \{y\}, \{z\}, \{y, z\}\}$. Now, we observe element $\{x, y\}$ is in $2^{A \cup B}$ but not in $2^A \cup 2^B$. 
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6. Prove or disprove: For all sets $A, B, C$ with $A \subseteq B$ and $B \subseteq C$, we must have $A \times B \subseteq B \times C$.

The statement is true. Let $x \in A \times B$ be arbitrary. Then $x = (y, z)$, with $y \in A$ and $z \in B$. Because $y \in A$ and $A \subseteq B$, in fact $y \in B$. Because $z \in B$ and $B \subseteq C$, in fact $z \in C$. Hence $x = (y, z)$ with $y \in B$ and $z \in C$, so $x \in B \times C$.

7. Prove or disprove: For all sets $A, B$, we must have $A \times B$ equicardinal with $(A \times B) \times A$.

The statement is false. To disprove requires a counterexample. Many are possible, such as: Let $A = \{x, y\}, B = \{z\}$. There are now two ways to continue.

**METHOD 1 (explicit calculation):** We have $A \times B = \{(x, z), (y, z)\}$, so $|A \times B| = 2$. However, $(A \times B) \times A = \{((x, z), x), ((y, z), y), ((x, z), y), ((y, z), x)\}$, so $|(A \times B) \times A| = 4$.

**METHOD 2 (theorem):** We recall the theorem in the book that $|Y \times Z| = |Y||Z|$ for all finite sets $Y, Z$. We have $|A \times B| = |A||B| = 2 \cdot 1 = 2$, and $|(A \times B) \times A| = |A \times B||A| = 2 \cdot 2 = 4$.

Note: It is not sufficient that our attempt to find a bijection between $A \times B$ and $(A \times B) \times A$ fails; perhaps a different attempt would succeed. For example, if $A = B = \mathbb{Z}$, then in fact the two sets are equicardinal.

8. Let $A, B$ be sets with $A \subseteq B$. Prove or disprove: For all transitive relations $R$ on $B$, we must have $R|_A$ also transitive.

The statement is true. Let $(x, y), (y, z) \in R|_A$. Then $x, y, z \in A$, and $(x, y), (y, z) \in R$. Since $R$ is transitive on $B$, also $(x, z) \in R$. Since $x, z \in A$, in fact $(x, z) \in R|_A$.

For problems 9,10: Let $R = \{(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 3), (3, 4), (4, 1), (4, 3)\}$, a relation on $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$.

9. Draw the digraph representing $R$. Determine, with justification, whether or not $R$ is each of: reflexive, symmetric, and transitive.

```
(1) → (2)
(4) ↔ (3)
```

- $R$ is not reflexive because, e.g., $(2, 2) \notin R$.
- $R$ is not symmetric because, e.g., $(2, 3) \in R$ and $(3, 2) \notin R$.
- $R$ is not transitive because, e.g., $(1, 2), (2, 3) \in R$ and $(1, 3) \notin R$.

10. Compute $R \circ R$. Give your answer both as a digraph and as a set.

```
(1) → (2) → (4) → (3)
```

- $R \circ R = \{(1, 1), (1, 2), (1, 3), (2, 4), (3, 1), (3, 3), (4, 1), (4, 2), (4, 4)\}$.

Note: every missing or extra piece in a solution, will cost points.