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#### Abstract

A connection is developed between polynomials invariant under abelian permutation of their variables and minimal zero sequences in a finite abelian group. This connection is exploited to count the number of minimal invariant polynomials for various abelian groups.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

Invariant theory has a long and beautiful history, with early work by Hilbert [14] and Noether [18]. Classically, it is concerned with polynomials over $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$, invariant over certain permutations of its variables. For an introduction to this subject, see any of $[5,17,19]$.

Minimal zero sequences (also called minimal zero-sum sequences) have also been the subject of considerable study (for example, see $[3,9,11,16$, 23]). They are multisets of elements from a fixed finite abelian group $G$,
subject to the restriction that the sum (according to multiplicity) must be zero in $G$. This forms a semigroup under the multiset sum operation. For an introduction, see one of $[2,8,10,12]$.

Our main result, Theorem 1.1, connects these two areas of mathematics. Let $G$ be a finite abelian group, and let $\mathfrak{I}$ be the subalgebra of the polynomial ring on $|G|$ variables, that is invariant under the variable permutation induced by $G$. We provide a canonical representation for $\mathfrak{I}$ under which the natural set of generators are bijective with minimal zero sequences of $G$. Since the 1948 paper of Strom [22], which settled the case where $G$ has rank one, only partial progress $[15,21]$ has been made in this area.

Theorem 1.1. There exists a canonical set of generators of $\mathfrak{I}$ in bijective correspondence with the set of minimal zero sequences of $G$, where generators of degree $k$ correspond with sequences of cardinality $k$.

## 2. APPLICATIONS

Our result permits us to count canonical generators of $\mathfrak{I}$ more efficiently, both by degree and in total. These results, found ${ }^{1}$ in Table 1, use minimal zero sequence counting algorithms, such as recursively finding zero-free sequences, found in [7]. We are thus able to extend the table found in [22] substantially. The total number of canonical generators for cyclic $G$ (the rightmost column of Table 1) is extended ${ }^{2}$ in Table 2 . We can similarly report the total number of canonical generators for some groups of the form $\mathbb{Z}_{m} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ in Table 3. Some of these are of rank one and also appear in Table 1 ; they are included for completeness.

The relation between these two areas has great potential for mutual benefit. For example, two conjectures of Elashvili, as stated in [13], have already been partially proved in [20] and fully proved in [24], by considering Theorem 1.1.

## 3. PROOF OF MAIN THEOREM

Fix finite abelian group $G=\mathbb{Z}_{n_{1}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n_{2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n_{k}}$. We consider the polynomial ring in the variables $x_{g}$, for each $g \in G$. We let $h \in G$ act on the variables via $h: x_{g} \rightarrow x_{h+g}$. Let $\mathfrak{I}$ denote the subring invariant

[^0]under all $|G|$ such actions, equivalently invariant under the $k$ actions $^{3} e_{1}=$ $(-1,0, \ldots, 0), e_{2}=(0,-1, \ldots, 0), \ldots, e_{k}=(0,0, \ldots,-1)$.

We will describe a degree-preserving change of variables that will preserve $\mathfrak{I}$. After this change, the group action on the original variables will act on the new, canonical, variables as scalar multiplication.
For all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we set $\varepsilon_{m}=e^{\frac{2 \pi \sqrt{-1}}{m}}$, where $e$ is the usual transcendental $2.718 \ldots$ We will need two well-known (for example, [1] or [4]) properties.

Proposition 3.1. Let $\varepsilon_{m}$ be as above. Then

1. $\left(\varepsilon_{m}\right)^{k}=1$ if and only if $m$ divides $k$.
2.Let $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\left(\varepsilon_{m}\right)^{j k}= \begin{cases}m, & \text { if } m \text { divides } j ; \\ 0, & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}$

For $g \in G$, we use $(g)_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ to denote the projection of $g$ onto the $i^{\text {th }}$ coordinate (for $1 \leq i \leq k$ ). For each $h \in G$, we define new variables $y_{h}$ via:

$$
y_{h}=\sum_{g \in G}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(\varepsilon_{n_{i}}\right)^{(g)_{i}(h)_{i}}\right) x_{g}
$$

The inverse change of basis is given explicitly below; hence this basis change is degree-preserving.

Lemma 3.1. For all $g \in G$ we have

$$
x_{g}=\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{h \in G}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(\varepsilon_{n_{j}}\right)^{(h)_{j}\left(-(g)_{j}\right)}\right) y_{h}
$$

Proof. We substitute for $y_{h}$ into the right hand side to get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{h \in G}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(\varepsilon_{n_{j}}\right)^{(h)_{j}\left(-(g)_{j}\right)}\right) \sum_{g^{\prime} \in G}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(\varepsilon_{n_{i}}\right)^{\left(g^{\prime}\right)_{i}(h)_{i}}\right) x_{g^{\prime}}= \\
& \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g^{\prime} \in G} x_{g^{\prime}} \sum_{h \in G}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(\varepsilon_{n_{i}}\right)^{(h)_{i} n_{i}}\right)\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(\varepsilon_{n_{i}}\right)^{(h)_{i}\left(\left(g^{\prime}\right)_{i}-(g)_{i}\right)}\right)= \\
& \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g^{\prime} \in G} x_{g^{\prime}} \sum_{h \in G}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(\varepsilon_{n_{i}}\right)^{(h)_{i}\left(\left(g^{\prime}\right)_{i}-(g)_{i}\right)}\right)=\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g^{\prime} \in G} x_{g^{\prime}}\left\{\begin{array}{l}
|G|, \text { if } g=g^{\prime} ; \\
0, \\
\text { otherwise. }
\end{array}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the last step, if $g=g^{\prime}$, then each term in the innermost product is 1. Otherwise, for some $w$, we have $\left(g^{\prime}\right)_{w}-(g)_{w} \neq 0$. We now collect

[^1]the summands $n_{w}$ at a time, where the $w^{\text {th }}$ coordinate assumes all possible values and the other coordinates are fixed. We pull out the common factors and apply Proposition 3.1 to get 0 .

Under the canonical basis $\left\{y_{h}\right\}$, the $k$ actions permuting the variables act as scalar multiplication.

$$
\text { LEMMA 3.2. } \quad e_{j}: y_{h} \rightarrow\left(\varepsilon_{n_{j}}\right)^{(h)_{j}} y_{h}
$$

Proof. We have $e_{j}\left(y_{h}\right)=\sum_{g \in G}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(\varepsilon_{n_{i}}\right)^{(g)_{i}(h)_{i}}\right) x_{g+e_{j}}=$

$$
=\sum_{\left(g+e_{j}\right) \in G}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(\varepsilon_{n_{i}}\right)^{\left(g+e_{j}-e_{j}\right)_{i}(h)_{i}}\right) x_{g+e_{j}}=\sum_{g \in G}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left(\varepsilon_{n_{i}}\right)^{\left(g-e_{j}\right)_{i}(h)_{i}}\right) x_{g}=
$$

$$
=y_{h}\left(\varepsilon_{n_{j}}\right)^{-\left(e_{j}\right)_{j}(h)_{j}}=y_{h}\left(\varepsilon_{n_{j}}\right)^{(h)_{j}}
$$

An immediate consequence of the above is that $e_{j}: y_{h}^{a} \rightarrow\left(\varepsilon_{n_{j}}\right)^{a(h)_{j}} y_{h}^{a}$. More generally, we can calculate the effect of $e_{j}$ on an arbitrary monomial.

Lemma 3.3. For constant $\alpha, e_{j}: \alpha \prod_{h \in G} y_{h}^{a_{h}} \rightarrow\left(\left(\varepsilon_{n_{j}}\right)^{\sum_{h \in G} a_{h}(h)_{j}}\right) \alpha \prod_{h \in G} y_{h}^{a_{h}}$.
Observe that, under the canonical basis, all invariant polynomials may be written as the sum of invariant monomials. Further, each invariant monomial may be written as the product of invariant monomials. Hence, there is a canonical set of generators of $\mathfrak{I}$ under the canonical basis, namely the set of irreducible invariant monomials.

Consider an irreducible monomial $\prod_{h \in G} y_{h}^{a_{h}}$. We must have $\sum_{h \in G} a_{h}(h)_{j} \equiv 0$ $\left(\bmod n_{j}\right)$ for each $j$. Combining these $j$ requirements, we get $\sum_{h \in G} a_{h} h=0$, where 0 is the zero element in $G$. Therefore, we can consider the $a_{h}$ as multiplicities for each element $h \in G$, and since the sum is zero we have a zero sequence. Further, this must be a minimal zero sequence by the irreducibility of the generator. Conversely, every minimal zero sequence yields an irreducible monomial.

TABLE 1.
Number of canonical generators of $\mathfrak{I}$, by degree.

| $G$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{1}$ | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$ | 1 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | 1 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{7}$ | 1 | 3 | 8 | 12 | 12 | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 48 |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{8}$ | 1 | 4 | 10 | 18 | 16 | 8 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 65 |  |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{9}$ | 1 | 4 | 14 | 26 | 32 | 18 | 12 | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{10}$ | 1 | 5 | 16 | 36 | 48 | 32 | 12 | 8 | 4 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 119 |  |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{11}$ | 1 | 5 | 20 | 50 | 82 | 70 | 50 | 30 | 20 | 10 | 10 |  |  |  | 166 |  |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ | 1 | 6 | 24 | 64 | 104 | 84 | 36 | 20 | 12 | 8 | 4 | 4 |  |  | 348 |  |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{13}$ | 1 | 6 | 28 | 84 | 168 | 180 | 132 | 84 | 60 | 36 | 24 | 12 | 12 |  | 367 |  |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{14}$ | 1 | 7 | 32 | 104 | 216 | 242 | 162 | 96 | 42 | 30 | 18 | 12 | 6 | 6 | 827 |  |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{15}$ | 1 | 7 | 38 | 130 | 306 | 388 | 264 | 120 | 88 | 56 | 40 | 24 | 16 | 8 | 8 | 1494 |

TABLE 2.
Total number of canonical generators for $G=\mathbb{Z}_{n}$.

| $\mathbb{Z}_{1}$ | 1 | $\mathbb{Z}_{16}$ | 2135 | $\mathbb{Z}_{31}$ | 280352 | $\mathbb{Z}_{46}$ | 7581158 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 2 | $\mathbb{Z}_{17}$ | 3913 | $\mathbb{Z}_{32}$ | 295291 | $\mathbb{Z}_{47}$ | 10761816 |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 4 | $\mathbb{Z}_{18}$ | 4038 | $\mathbb{Z}_{33}$ | 405919 | $\mathbb{Z}_{48}$ | 9772607 |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | 7 | $\mathbb{Z}_{19}$ | 7936 | $\mathbb{Z}_{34}$ | 508162 | $\mathbb{Z}_{49}$ | 15214301 |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$ | 15 | $\mathbb{Z}_{20}$ | 8247 | $\mathbb{Z}_{35}$ | 674630 | $\mathbb{Z}_{50}$ | 15826998 |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | 20 | $\mathbb{Z}_{21}$ | 12967 | $\mathbb{Z}_{36}$ | 708819 | $\mathbb{Z}_{51}$ | 20930012 |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{7}$ | 48 | $\mathbb{Z}_{22}$ | 17476 | $\mathbb{Z}_{37}$ | 1230259 | $\mathbb{Z}_{52}$ | 23378075 |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{8}$ | 65 | $\mathbb{Z}_{23}$ | 29162 | $\mathbb{Z}_{38}$ | 1325732 | $\mathbb{Z}_{53}$ | 34502651 |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{9}$ | 119 | $\mathbb{Z}_{24}$ | 28065 | $\mathbb{Z}_{39}$ | 1709230 | $\mathbb{Z}_{54}$ | 32192586 |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{10}$ | 166 | $\mathbb{Z}_{25}$ | 49609 | $\mathbb{Z}_{40}$ | 1868565 | $\mathbb{Z}_{55}$ | 44961550 |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{11}$ | 348 | $\mathbb{Z}_{26}$ | 59358 | $\mathbb{Z}_{41}$ | 3045109 | $\mathbb{Z}_{56}$ | 47162627 |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ | 367 | $\mathbb{Z}_{27}$ | 83420 | $\mathbb{Z}_{42}$ | 2804474 | $\mathbb{Z}_{57}$ | 63662925 |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{13}$ | 827 | $\mathbb{Z}_{28}$ | 97243 | $\mathbb{Z}_{43}$ | 4694718 | $\mathbb{Z}_{58}$ | 74515122 |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{14}$ | 974 | $\mathbb{Z}_{29}$ | 164967 | $\mathbb{Z}_{44}$ | 4695997 | $\mathbb{Z}_{59}$ | 102060484 |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{15}$ | 1494 | $\mathbb{Z}_{30}$ | 152548 | $\mathbb{Z}_{45}$ | 5902561 | $\mathbb{Z}_{60}$ | 85954379 |

TABLE 3.
Total number of canonical generators for $G=\mathbb{Z}_{m} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n}$.

|  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 5 | 20 | 39 | 166 | 253 | 974 |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | 20 | 69 | 367 | 1494 | 2642 | 12967 |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | 39 | 367 | 1107 | 8247 | 19463 | 97243 |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$ | 166 | 1494 | 8247 | 31029 | 152548 | 674630 |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | 253 | 2642 | 19463 | 152548 | 390861 | 2804474 |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{7}$ | 974 | 12967 | 97243 | 674630 | 2804474 | 9540473 |
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Space considerations limit the size of these tables; larger versions are available (together with the software used to generate them) up to $\mathbb{Z}_{64}$ at http://www-rohan.sdsu.edu/~vadim/research.html
    ${ }^{2}$ These results, through other methods, were also found by A. Elashvili and V. Tsiskaridze [6]. Their unpublished data matches ours, and equally continues to $\mathbb{Z}_{64}$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ The actions are chosen to be the negatives of the standard basis for technical reasons, to be evident later. These elements generate $G$.

