

Membership and Elasticity in Certain Affine Monoids

Vadim Ponomarenko

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
San Diego State University

AMS Sectional Meeting March 22, 2019

<http://vadim.sdsu.edu/2019-Hawaii-talk.pdf>



Shameless advertising

Please encourage your students to apply to the
San Diego State University Mathematics REU
(for next summer).

Projects in Nonunique Factorization; summer 2019 projects in
numerical semigroups.

`http://www.sci.sdsu.edu/math-reu/index.html`

This work was done jointly with Jackson Autry.



Affine Monoids: definition

For us, an affine monoid is a set S , satisfying:

- $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \subseteq S \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0^2$
- S is closed under $+$

Given $\{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k\} \subseteq S$, we define submonoid

$$\langle t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k \rangle = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i t_i : \alpha_i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\} \subseteq S$$

We further assume that S has embedding dimension 2 or 3, to be defined next.



Affine Monoids: definition

For us, an affine monoid is a set S , satisfying:

- $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \subseteq S \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0^2$
- S is closed under $+$

Given $\{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k\} \subseteq S$, we define submonoid

$$\langle t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k \rangle = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i t_i : \alpha_i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\} \subseteq S$$

We further assume that S has embedding dimension 2 or 3, to be defined next.



Affine Monoids: definition

For us, an affine monoid is a set S , satisfying:

- $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\} \subseteq S \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0^2$
- S is closed under $+$

Given $\{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k\} \subseteq S$, we define submonoid

$$\langle t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k \rangle = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i t_i : \alpha_i \in \mathbb{N}_0 \right\} \subseteq S$$

We further assume that S has embedding dimension 2 or 3, to be defined next.



Affine Monoids: irreducibles, embedding dimension

A nonzero element $t \in S$ is irreducible if:

there are no nonzero $t_1, t_2 \in S$ with $t = t_1 + t_2$

There is a unique set of irreducibles $\{u, v, \dots, w\}$ with
 $S = \langle u, v, \dots, w \rangle$.

We call $|\{u, v, \dots, w\}|$ the embedding dimension of S .

We assume that the embedding dimension is 2 or 3;

i.e. $S = \langle u, v \rangle$ or $S = \langle u, v, w \rangle$



Affine Monoids: irreducibles, embedding dimension

A nonzero element $t \in S$ is irreducible if:

there are no nonzero $t_1, t_2 \in S$ with $t = t_1 + t_2$

There is a unique set of irreducibles $\{u, v, \dots, w\}$ with
 $S = \langle u, v, \dots, w \rangle$.

We call $|\{u, v, \dots, w\}|$ the embedding dimension of S .

We assume that the embedding dimension is 2 or 3;
i.e. $S = \langle u, v \rangle$ or $S = \langle u, v, w \rangle$



Affine Monoids: irreducibles, embedding dimension

A nonzero element $t \in S$ is irreducible if:

there are no nonzero $t_1, t_2 \in S$ with $t = t_1 + t_2$

There is a unique set of irreducibles $\{u, v, \dots, w\}$ with
 $S = \langle u, v, \dots, w \rangle$.

We call $|\{u, v, \dots, w\}|$ the embedding dimension of S .

We assume that the embedding dimension is 2 or 3;
i.e. $S = \langle u, v \rangle$ or $S = \langle u, v, w \rangle$



Affine Monoids, factorization

Set $S = \langle u, v, w \rangle$ and consider the map:

- $\pi : \mathbb{N}_0^3 \rightarrow S$ given by $\pi : (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \mapsto \alpha u + \beta v + \gamma w$

If $\pi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = s$, we call (α, β, γ) a factorization of s . We call π the factorization homomorphism of S .

For $s \in S$, set $Z(s)$ to be the set of all factorizations of s :

- $Z(s) = \pi^{-1}(s)$.



Affine Monoids, factorization

Set $S = \langle u, v, w \rangle$ and consider the map:

- $\pi : \mathbb{N}_0^3 \rightarrow S$ given by $\pi : (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \mapsto \alpha u + \beta v + \gamma w$

If $\pi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = s$, we call (α, β, γ) a factorization of s . We call π the factorization homomorphism of S .

For $s \in S$, set $Z(s)$ to be the set of all factorizations of s :

- $Z(s) = \pi^{-1}(s)$.



Affine Monoids, factorization

Set $S = \langle u, v, w \rangle$ and consider the map:

- $\pi : \mathbb{N}_0^3 \rightarrow S$ given by $\pi : (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \mapsto \alpha u + \beta v + \gamma w$

If $\pi(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = s$, we call (α, β, γ) a factorization of s . We call π the factorization homomorphism of S .

For $s \in S$, set $Z(s)$ to be the set of all factorizations of s :

- $Z(s) = \pi^{-1}(s)$.



Affine Monoids, factorization lengths

For $s \in S$ and for $u = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in Z(s)$, define the length of u as:

- $|u| = \alpha + \beta + \gamma$.

For $s \in S$, define the set of lengths of s as:

- $L(s) = \{|u| : u \in Z(s)\}$.

For $s \in S$, define the elasticity of s as:

- $\rho(s) = \frac{\max L(s)}{\min L(s)}$



Affine Monoids, factorization lengths

For $s \in S$ and for $u = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in Z(s)$, define the length of u as:

- $|u| = \alpha + \beta + \gamma$.

For $s \in S$, define the set of lengths of s as:

- $L(s) = \{|u| : u \in Z(s)\}$.

For $s \in S$, define the elasticity of s as:

- $\rho(s) = \frac{\max L(s)}{\min L(s)}$



Affine Monoids, factorization lengths

For $s \in S$ and for $u = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in Z(s)$, define the length of u as:

- $|u| = \alpha + \beta + \gamma$.

For $s \in S$, define the set of lengths of s as:

- $L(s) = \{|u| : u \in Z(s)\}$.

For $s \in S$, define the elasticity of s as:

- $\rho(s) = \frac{\max L(s)}{\min L(s)}$



What's This Talk All About?

Our results are addressing two questions
(each for embedding dimension 2, 3):

Membership Problem:

Given affine monoid S and $x \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$, is $x \in S$?

Elasticity Problem:

Given affine monoid S and $x \in S$, what is $\rho(x)$?



What's This Talk All About?

Our results are addressing two questions
(each for embedding dimension 2, 3):

Membership Problem:

Given affine monoid S and $x \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$, is $x \in S$?

Elasticity Problem:

Given affine monoid S and $x \in S$, what is $\rho(x)$?



Classical Tool 1: SNF and Determinantal Divisors

- Smith Normal Form:

Given 2×3 matrix M , with integer entries.

There must exist square unimodular matrices U, V , with:

$$UMV = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d_1 d_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

d_i called determinantal divisors of M .

d_i is the gcd of all the $i \times i$ minors of M .

In particular, $d_1 = \gcd(M)$.



Classical Tool 1: SNF and Determinantal Divisors

- Smith Normal Form:

Given 2×3 matrix M , with integer entries.

There must exist square unimodular matrices U, V , with:

$$UMV = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & d_1 d_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

d_i called determinantal divisors of M .

d_i is the gcd of all the $i \times i$ minors of M .

In particular, $d_1 = \gcd(M)$.



Classical Tool 1: Determinantal Divisor Properties

Smith Normal Form:

Given 2×3 matrix M , with integer entries.

There must exist integers d_1, d_2 , called determinantal divisors of M .

d_i is the gcd of all the $i \times i$ minors of M .

- **Determinantal Divisor Properties:**

Multiplying M on either side by a unimodular matrix, leaves determinantal divisors unchanged.

Set $u = Mv$, for any $v \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. The determinantal divisors of $[M|u]$ are the same as that for M .



Classical Tool 1: Determinantal Divisor Properties

Smith Normal Form:

Given 2×3 matrix M , with integer entries.

There must exist integers d_1, d_2 , called determinantal divisors of M .

d_i is the gcd of all the $i \times i$ minors of M .

- Determinantal Divisor Properties:

Multiplying M on either side by a unimodular matrix, leaves determinantal divisors unchanged.

Set $u = Mv$, for any $v \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. The determinantal divisors of $[M|u]$ are the same as that for M .



Classical Tool 1: Determinantal Divisor Properties

Smith Normal Form:

Given 2×3 matrix M , with integer entries.

There must exist integers d_1, d_2 , called determinantal divisors of M .

d_i is the gcd of all the $i \times i$ minors of M .

- Determinantal Divisor Properties:

Multiplying M on either side by a unimodular matrix, leaves determinantal divisors unchanged.

Set $u = Mv$, for any $v \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. The determinantal divisors of $[M|u]$ are the same as that for M .



Classical Tool 2: MHNH

- Modified Hermite Normal Form

Given 2×3 matrix $M = [u|v|w]$, with integer entries.

There must exist unimodular matrix U , with:

$$UM = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & * & * \\ \gcd(u) & * & * \end{bmatrix}$$

(with each $*$ $\in \mathbb{N}_0$)

We assume that $\gcd(u) = 1$, so in fact $UM = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & * & * \\ 1 & * & * \end{bmatrix}$



Classical Tool 2: MHNF

- Modified Hermite Normal Form

Given 2×3 matrix $M = [u|v|w]$, with integer entries.

There must exist unimodular matrix U , with:

$$UM = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & * & * \\ \gcd(u) & * & * \end{bmatrix}$$

(with each $*$ $\in \mathbb{N}_0$)

We assume that $\gcd(u) = 1$, so in fact $UM = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & * & * \\ 1 & * & * \end{bmatrix}$



New Tool: ϕ

Set $\mathbb{Q}^* = \mathbb{Q}^{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$.

Define $\phi : \mathbb{N}_0^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^*$ via $\phi : \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \frac{a}{b}$ (∞ if $b = 0$)

ϕ will largely answer our questions.

Note: \mathbb{Q}^* is totally ordered, while \mathbb{N}_0^2 is not.



New Tool: ϕ

Set $\mathbb{Q}^* = \mathbb{Q}^{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$.

Define $\phi : \mathbb{N}_0^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}^*$ via $\phi : \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \frac{a}{b}$ (∞ if $b = 0$)

ϕ will largely answer our questions.

Note: \mathbb{Q}^* is totally ordered, while \mathbb{N}_0^2 is not.



Properties of ϕ

Thm: Let $u, v \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$. Then $\phi(u + v) \in [\phi(u), \phi(v)]$.

Note: This interval is understood to be $[\phi(v), \phi(u)]$ if $\phi(v) < \phi(u)$.

Cor: Let $u, v \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$, and $s \in \langle u, v \rangle$. Then $\phi(s) \in [\phi(u), \phi(v)]$.

Cor: Let $u, v \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$, and $s \in \langle u, v \rangle$. Let U be unimodular 2×2 . Then $Us \in \langle Uu, Uv \rangle$ and $\phi(Us) \in [\phi(Uu), \phi(Uv)]$.

Hence, by MHNf and $\gcd(u) = 1$, we may assume without loss of generality that $u = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$.



Properties of ϕ

Thm: Let $u, v \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$. Then $\phi(u + v) \in [\phi(u), \phi(v)]$.

Note: This interval is understood to be $[\phi(v), \phi(u)]$ if $\phi(v) < \phi(u)$.

Cor: Let $u, v \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$, and $s \in \langle u, v \rangle$. Then $\phi(s) \in [\phi(u), \phi(v)]$.

Cor: Let $u, v \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$, and $s \in \langle u, v \rangle$. Let U be unimodular 2×2 . Then $Us \in \langle Uu, Uv \rangle$ and $\phi(Us) \in [\phi(Uu), \phi(Uv)]$.

Hence, by MHNf and $\gcd(u) = 1$, we may assume without loss of generality that $u = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$.



Properties of ϕ

Thm: Let $u, v \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$. Then $\phi(u + v) \in [\phi(u), \phi(v)]$.

Note: This interval is understood to be $[\phi(v), \phi(u)]$ if $\phi(v) < \phi(u)$.

Cor: Let $u, v \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$, and $s \in \langle u, v \rangle$. Then $\phi(s) \in [\phi(u), \phi(v)]$.

Cor: Let $u, v \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$, and $s \in \langle u, v \rangle$. Let U be unimodular 2×2 . Then $Us \in \langle Uu, Uv \rangle$ and $\phi(Us) \in [\phi(Uu), \phi(Uv)]$.

Hence, by MHNf and $\gcd(u) = 1$, we may assume without loss of generality that $u = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$.



Properties of ϕ

Thm: Let $u, v \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$. Then $\phi(u + v) \in [\phi(u), \phi(v)]$.

Note: This interval is understood to be $[\phi(v), \phi(u)]$ if $\phi(v) < \phi(u)$.

Cor: Let $u, v \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$, and $s \in \langle u, v \rangle$. Then $\phi(s) \in [\phi(u), \phi(v)]$.

Cor: Let $u, v \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$, and $s \in \langle u, v \rangle$. Let U be unimodular 2×2 . Then $Us \in \langle Uu, Uv \rangle$ and $\phi(Us) \in [\phi(Uu), \phi(Uv)]$.

Hence, by MHNf and $\gcd(u) = 1$, we may assume without loss of generality that $u = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$.



Determinantal Divisor

Thm: Set $S = \langle u, v, w \rangle$, and $s \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$.

If $s \in S$, then $[u|v|w]$ and $[u|v|w|s]$ have the same determinantal divisors.

Note: This holds for any embedding dimension.



Determinantal Divisor

Thm: Set $S = \langle u, v, w \rangle$, and $s \in \mathbb{N}_0^2$.

If $s \in S$, then $[u|v|w]$ and $[u|v|w|s]$ have the same determinantal divisors.

Note: This holds for any embedding dimension.



Embedding Dimension 2

Set $S = \langle [\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}], [\begin{smallmatrix} a \\ b \end{smallmatrix}] \rangle$, and $s = [\begin{smallmatrix} x \\ y \end{smallmatrix}]$.

Note that $d_2([\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}], [\begin{smallmatrix} a \\ b \end{smallmatrix}]) = a$.

If $s \in S$, then both:

- $\phi(s) \in [\phi([\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}]), \phi([\begin{smallmatrix} a \\ b \end{smallmatrix}])] = [0, \frac{a}{b}]$; and
- $d_2([\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}], [\begin{smallmatrix} a \\ b \end{smallmatrix}]) = d_2([\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}], [\begin{smallmatrix} a \\ b \end{smallmatrix}])$. (i.e. $a|x$.)

Thm: These necessary conditions are also sufficient.

Also, $\rho(s) = 1$, new proof.



Embedding Dimension 2

Set $S = \langle [1^0], [1^a] \rangle$, and $s = [1^x]$.

Note that $d_2([1^0], [1^a]) = a$.

If $s \in S$, then both:

- $\phi(s) \in [\phi([1^0]), \phi([1^a])] = [0, \frac{a}{b}]$; and
- $d_2([1^0], [1^a]) = d_2([1^0], [1^a, x])$. (i.e. $a|x$.)

Thm: These necessary conditions are also sufficient.

Also, $\rho(s) = 1$, new proof.



Embedding Dimension 2

Set $S = \langle [\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}], [\begin{smallmatrix} a \\ b \end{smallmatrix}] \rangle$, and $s = [\begin{smallmatrix} x \\ y \end{smallmatrix}]$.

Note that $d_2([\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}], [\begin{smallmatrix} a \\ b \end{smallmatrix}]) = a$.

If $s \in S$, then both:

- $\phi(s) \in [\phi([\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}]), \phi([\begin{smallmatrix} a \\ b \end{smallmatrix}])] = [0, \frac{a}{b}]$; and
- $d_2([\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}], [\begin{smallmatrix} a \\ b \end{smallmatrix}]) = d_2([\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}], [\begin{smallmatrix} a \\ b \end{smallmatrix}])$. (i.e. $a|x$.)

Thm: These necessary conditions are also sufficient.

Also, $\rho(s) = 1$, new proof.



Embedding Dimension 2

Set $S = \langle [\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}], [\begin{smallmatrix} a \\ b \end{smallmatrix}] \rangle$, and $s = [\begin{smallmatrix} x \\ y \end{smallmatrix}]$.

Note that $d_2([\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}] [\begin{smallmatrix} a \\ b \end{smallmatrix}]) = a$.

If $s \in S$, then both:

- $\phi(s) \in [\phi([\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}]), \phi([\begin{smallmatrix} a \\ b \end{smallmatrix}])] = [0, \frac{a}{b}]$; and
- $d_2([\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}] [\begin{smallmatrix} a \\ b \end{smallmatrix}] [\begin{smallmatrix} x \\ y \end{smallmatrix}]]) = d_2([\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}] [\begin{smallmatrix} a \\ b \end{smallmatrix}] [\begin{smallmatrix} x \\ y \end{smallmatrix}]])$. (i.e. $a|x$.)

Thm: These necessary conditions are also sufficient.

Also, $\rho(s) = 1$, new proof.



Embedding Dimension 3

Set $S = \langle [\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}], [\begin{smallmatrix} a \\ b \end{smallmatrix}], [\begin{smallmatrix} c \\ d \end{smallmatrix}] \rangle$, and $s = [\begin{smallmatrix} x \\ y \end{smallmatrix}]$, where we assume that $\phi([\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}]) < \phi([\begin{smallmatrix} a \\ b \end{smallmatrix}]) < \phi([\begin{smallmatrix} c \\ d \end{smallmatrix}])$.

Note that $d_2([\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & a & c \\ 1 & b & d \end{smallmatrix}]) = \gcd(a, c, bc - ad) = \gcd(a, c)$.

If $s \in S$, then both:

- $\phi(s) \in [\phi([\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}]), \phi([\begin{smallmatrix} c \\ d \end{smallmatrix}])] = [0, \frac{c}{d}]$; and
- $d_2([\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & a & c \\ 1 & b & d \end{smallmatrix}]) = d_2([\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & a & c & x \\ 1 & b & d & y \end{smallmatrix}])$. (i.e. $\gcd(a, c) | x$)

Not enough for sufficiency!



Embedding Dimension 3

Set $S = \langle [\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}], [\begin{smallmatrix} a \\ b \end{smallmatrix}], [\begin{smallmatrix} c \\ d \end{smallmatrix}] \rangle$, and $s = [\begin{smallmatrix} x \\ y \end{smallmatrix}]$, where we assume that $\phi([\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}]) < \phi([\begin{smallmatrix} a \\ b \end{smallmatrix}]) < \phi([\begin{smallmatrix} c \\ d \end{smallmatrix}])$.

Note that $d_2([\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & a & c \\ 1 & b & d \end{smallmatrix}])) = \gcd(a, c, bc - ad) = \gcd(a, c)$.

If $s \in S$, then both:

- $\phi(s) \in [\phi([\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}]), \phi([\begin{smallmatrix} c \\ d \end{smallmatrix}])] = [0, \frac{c}{d}]$; and
- $d_2([\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & a & c \\ 1 & b & d \end{smallmatrix}])) = d_2([\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & a & c & x \\ 1 & b & d & y \end{smallmatrix}]))$. (i.e. $\gcd(a, c) \mid x$)

Not enough for sufficiency!



Embedding Dimension 3

Set $S = \langle [\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}], [\begin{smallmatrix} a \\ b \end{smallmatrix}], [\begin{smallmatrix} c \\ d \end{smallmatrix}] \rangle$, and $s = [\begin{smallmatrix} x \\ y \end{smallmatrix}]$, where we assume that $\phi([\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}]) < \phi([\begin{smallmatrix} a \\ b \end{smallmatrix}]) < \phi([\begin{smallmatrix} c \\ d \end{smallmatrix}])$.

Note that $d_2([\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & a & c \\ 1 & b & d \end{smallmatrix}])) = \gcd(a, c, bc - ad) = \gcd(a, c)$.

If $s \in S$, then both:

- $\phi(s) \in [\phi([\begin{smallmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}]), \phi([\begin{smallmatrix} c \\ d \end{smallmatrix}])] = [0, \frac{c}{d}]$; and
- $d_2([\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & a & c \\ 1 & b & d \end{smallmatrix}])) = d_2([\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & a & c & x \\ 1 & b & d & y \end{smallmatrix}]))$. (i.e. $\gcd(a, c) \mid x$)

Not enough for sufficiency!



Embedding Dimension 3, part 2

Set $S = \langle [1^0], [b^a], [d^c] \rangle$, and $s = [y^x]$, where we assume that $\phi([1^0]) < \phi([b^a]) < \phi([d^c])$. Note that $d_2([1^0 \ a \ c]) = \gcd(a, c)$.

If $s \in S$, then:

- $\phi(s) \in [\phi([1^0]), \phi([d^c])] = [0, \frac{c}{d}]$; and
- $d_2([1^0 \ a \ c]) = d_2([1^0 \ a \ c \ x])$. (i.e. $\gcd(a, c) \mid x$)
- $x \in \langle a, c \rangle$ Note: implies second condition.

Still not enough for sufficiency!



Embedding Dimension 3, part 2

Set $S = \langle [1^0], [b^a], [d^c] \rangle$, and $s = [y^x]$, where we assume that $\phi([1^0]) < \phi([b^a]) < \phi([d^c])$. Note that $d_2([1^0 \ a \ c]) = \gcd(a, c)$.

If $s \in S$, then:

- $\phi(s) \in [\phi([1^0]), \phi([d^c])] = [0, \frac{c}{d}]$; and
- $d_2([1^0 \ a \ c]) = d_2([1^0 \ a \ c \ x])$. (i.e. $\gcd(a, c) | x$)
- $x \in \langle a, c \rangle$ Note: implies second condition.

Still not enough for sufficiency!



Embedding Dimension 3, part 2

Set $S = \langle [1^0], [b^a], [d^c] \rangle$, and $s = [y^x]$, where we assume that $\phi([1^0]) < \phi([b^a]) < \phi([d^c])$. Note that $d_2([1^0 \ a \ c]) = \gcd(a, c)$.

If $s \in S$, then:

- $\phi(s) \in [\phi([1^0]), \phi([d^c])] = [0, \frac{c}{d}]$; and
- $d_2([1^0 \ a \ c]) = d_2([1^0 \ a \ c \ x])$. (i.e. $\gcd(a, c) | x$)
- $x \in \langle a, c \rangle$ Note: implies second condition.

Still not enough for sufficiency!



Embedding Dimension 3, intermezzo

Example: $S = \langle \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 11 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \rangle$, $s = \begin{bmatrix} 199 \\ 119 \end{bmatrix}$.

$$\phi(s) \in [0, \frac{10}{3}]$$

$$199 \in \langle 11, 10 \rangle \quad (\text{uniquely})$$

$$d_2(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 11 & 10 \\ 1 & 10 & 3 \end{bmatrix}) = d_2(\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 11 & 10 & 199 \\ 1 & 10 & 3 & 119 \end{bmatrix}) = 1$$

But still $s \notin S$.



Embedding Dimension 3, conclusion

Set $S = \langle [1^0], [a^b], [c^d] \rangle$, and $s = [x^y]$, where we assume that $\phi([1^0]) < \phi([a^b]) < \phi([c^d])$. Assume $bc - ad = 1$.

If $s \in S$, then:

- $\phi(s) \in [\phi([1^0]), \phi([c^d])] = [0, \frac{c}{d}]$; and
- $x \in \langle a, c \rangle$

Thm: These necessary conditions are also sufficient.

If $ad - bc \neq 1$, all still open.



Embedding Dimension 3, conclusion

Set $S = \langle [1^0], [a^b], [c^d] \rangle$, and $s = [x^y]$, where we assume that $\phi([1^0]) < \phi([a^b]) < \phi([c^d])$. Assume $bc - ad = 1$.

If $s \in S$, then:

- $\phi(s) \in [\phi([1^0]), \phi([c^d])] = [0, \frac{c}{d}]$; and
- $x \in \langle a, c \rangle$

Thm: These necessary conditions are also sufficient.

If $ad - bc \neq 1$, all still open.



Embedding Dimension 3, conclusion

Set $S = \langle [1^0], [b^a], [d^c] \rangle$, and $s = [x^y]$, where we assume that $\phi([1^0]) < \phi([b^a]) < \phi([d^c])$. Assume $bc - ad = 1$.

If $s \in S$, then:

- $\phi(s) \in [\phi([1^0]), \phi([d^c])] = [0, \frac{c}{d}]$; and
- $x \in \langle a, c \rangle$

Thm: These necessary conditions are also sufficient.

If $ad - bc \neq 1$, all still open.



Defining p, q, r

Set $S = \langle [0_1], [a_b], [c_d] \rangle$, and $s = [x_y]$, where we assume that $bc - ad = 1$. (implies $\frac{a}{b} < \frac{c}{d}$)

Suppose that $x \in \langle a, c \rangle$. There are unique choices of $q, r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $x = qa + rc$ and $0 \leq q < c$.

Suppose that $s \in S$. Then there is a unique choice of $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $y = p + qb + rd$, i.e.
 $s = [x_y] = p[0_1] + q[a_b] + r[c_d]$.



Defining p, q, r

Set $S = \langle [0_1], [a_b], [c_d] \rangle$, and $s = [x_y]$, where we assume that $bc - ad = 1$. (implies $\frac{a}{b} < \frac{c}{d}$)

Suppose that $x \in \langle a, c \rangle$. There are unique choices of $q, r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $x = qa + rc$ and $0 \leq q < c$.

Suppose that $s \in S$. Then there is a unique choice of $p \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $y = p + qb + rd$, i.e.
 $s = [x_y] = p[0_1] + q[a_b] + r[c_d]$.



Elasticity in Embedding Dimension 3

Set $S = \langle \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} c \\ d \end{bmatrix} \rangle$, and $s = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$, with $bc - ad = 1$. Let $p, q, r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ satisfy $s = p \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + q \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} + r \begin{bmatrix} c \\ d \end{bmatrix}$ with $0 \leq q < c$.

Thm 1: If $\frac{x}{y} \leq \frac{a}{b}$, then the min/max factorizations of s have lengths $p + q + r$ and $p + q + r + \lfloor \frac{r}{a} \rfloor (c - a - 1)$.

Note: $c - a - 1$ could be positive, zero, negative.

Thm 2: If $\frac{x}{y} \geq \frac{a}{b}$, then the min/max factorizations of s have lengths $p + q + r$ and $p + q + r + p(c - a - 1)$.



Elasticity in Embedding Dimension 3

Set $S = \langle \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} c \\ d \end{bmatrix} \rangle$, and $s = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$, with $bc - ad = 1$. Let $p, q, r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ satisfy $s = p\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + q\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} + r\begin{bmatrix} c \\ d \end{bmatrix}$ with $0 \leq q < c$.

Thm 1: If $\frac{x}{y} \leq \frac{a}{b}$, then the min/max factorizations of s have lengths $p + q + r$ and $p + q + r + \lfloor \frac{r}{a} \rfloor (c - a - 1)$.

Note: $c - a - 1$ could be positive, zero, negative.

Thm 2: If $\frac{x}{y} \geq \frac{a}{b}$, then the min/max factorizations of s have lengths $p + q + r$ and $p + q + r + p(c - a - 1)$.



Elasticity in Embedding Dimension 3

Set $S = \langle [0_1^0], [\frac{a}{b}], [\frac{c}{d}] \rangle$, and $s = [\frac{x}{y}]$, with $bc - ad = 1$. Let $p, q, r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ satisfy $s = p[0_1^0] + q[\frac{a}{b}] + r[\frac{c}{d}]$ with $0 \leq q < c$.

Thm 1: If $\frac{x}{y} \leq \frac{a}{b}$, then the min/max factorizations of s have lengths $p + q + r$ and $p + q + r + \lfloor \frac{r}{a} \rfloor (c - a - 1)$.

Note: $c - a - 1$ could be positive, zero, negative.

Thm 2: If $\frac{x}{y} \geq \frac{a}{b}$, then the min/max factorizations of s have lengths $p + q + r$ and $p + q + r + p(c - a - 1)$.



Elasticity in Embedding Dimension 3

Set $S = \langle \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} c \\ d \end{bmatrix} \rangle$, and $s = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$, with $bc - ad = 1$. Let $p, q, r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ satisfy $s = p \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} + q \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} + r \begin{bmatrix} c \\ d \end{bmatrix}$ with $0 \leq q < c$.

Thm 1: If $\frac{x}{y} \leq \frac{a}{b}$, then the min/max factorizations of s have lengths $p + q + r$ and $p + q + r + \lfloor \frac{r}{a} \rfloor (c - a - 1)$.

Note: $c - a - 1$ could be positive, zero, negative.

Thm 2: If $\frac{x}{y} \geq \frac{a}{b}$, then the min/max factorizations of s have lengths $p + q + r$ and $p + q + r + p(c - a - 1)$.



Elasticity Limits

Set $S = \langle [1^0], [a^b], [c^d] \rangle$, and $s = [x^y] \in S$, with $bc - ad = 1$.

We expect $\phi(s)$ largely determines elasticity.

$\phi(ks) = \phi(s)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Thm: Set $\tau = \text{sign}(c - a - 1)$. Then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho(ks) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{c}{a} \frac{a - \frac{x}{y}(b-1)}{c - \frac{x}{y}(d-1)} \right)^\tau & \frac{x}{y} \leq \frac{a}{b} \\ \left(c \frac{(c-a) - \frac{x}{y}(d-b)}{c - \frac{x}{y}(d-1)} \right)^\tau & \frac{x}{y} \geq \frac{a}{b} \end{cases}$$



Elasticity Limits

Set $S = \langle [1^0], [a^b], [c^d] \rangle$, and $s = [x^y] \in S$, with $bc - ad = 1$.

We expect $\phi(s)$ largely determines elasticity.

$\phi(ks) = \phi(s)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Thm: Set $\tau = \text{sign}(c - a - 1)$. Then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho(ks) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{c}{a} \frac{a - \frac{x}{y}(b-1)}{c - \frac{x}{y}(d-1)} \right)^\tau & \frac{x}{y} \leq \frac{a}{b} \\ \left(\frac{c}{c} \frac{(c-a) - \frac{x}{y}(d-b)}{c - \frac{x}{y}(d-1)} \right)^\tau & \frac{x}{y} \geq \frac{a}{b} \end{cases}$$



Elasticity Limits

Set $S = \langle [1^0], [a^b], [c^d] \rangle$, and $s = [x^y] \in S$, with $bc - ad = 1$.

We expect $\phi(s)$ largely determines elasticity.

$\phi(ks) = \phi(s)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Thm: Set $\tau = \text{sign}(c - a - 1)$. Then

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho(ks) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{c}{a} \frac{a - \frac{x}{y}(b-1)}{c - \frac{x}{y}(d-1)} \right)^\tau & \frac{x}{y} \leq \frac{a}{b} \\ \left(c \frac{(c-a) - \frac{x}{y}(d-b)}{c - \frac{x}{y}(d-1)} \right)^\tau & \frac{x}{y} \geq \frac{a}{b} \end{cases}$$



For Further Reading



Membership and Elasticity in Certain affine Monoids

<https://vadim.sdsu.edu/ap3.pdf>

