

SOME ASYMPTOTIC RESULTS ON p -LENGTHS OF FACTORIZATIONS FOR NUMERICAL SEMIGROUPS AND ARITHMETICAL CONGRUENCE MONOIDS

SPENCER CHAPMAN, ELI B. DUGAN, SHADI GASKARI, EMI LYCAN,
SARAH MENDOZA DE LA CRUZ, CHRISTOPHER O'NEILL, AND VADIM PONOMARENKO

ABSTRACT. A factorization of an element x in a monoid (M, \cdot) is an expression of the form $x = u_1^{z_1} \cdots u_k^{z_k}$ for irreducible elements $u_1, \dots, u_k \in M$, and the length of such a factorization is $z_1 + \cdots + z_k$. We introduce the notion of p -length, a generalized notion of factorization length obtained from the ℓ_p -norm of the sequence (z_1, \dots, z_k) , and present asymptotic results on extremal p -lengths of factorizations for large elements of numerical semigroups (additive submonoids of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$) and arithmetical congruence monoids (certain multiplicative submonoids of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$). Our results, inspired by analogous results for classical factorization length, demonstrate the types of combinatorial statements one may hope to obtain for sufficiently nice monoids, as well as the subtlety such asymptotic questions can have for general monoids.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a cancellative, commutative monoid (M, \cdot) , a *factorization* of $x \in M$ is an expression of the form

$$(1.1) \quad x = u_1^{z_1} \cdots u_k^{z_k}$$

where $u_1, \dots, u_k \in M$ are distinct irreducible elements (or *atoms*) and $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^k$. One of the primary goals of factorization theory is to characterize and quantify the non-uniqueness of factorizations of monoid elements [11]. To this end, one of the predominant invariants examined is a factorization's *length*, which coincides with the 1-norm $z_1 + \cdots + z_k$ of \mathbf{z} . A cornerstone of their study is the so-called structure theorem for sets of length, which has been shown to hold for large families of monoids and provides a combinatorial description of the set of possible factorization lengths of elements of the form x^n for large n ; see [10] for a survey of such results.

In this manuscript, we consider two particular families of monoids. The first, known as *arithmetical congruence monoids*, are multiplicative submonoids of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ of the form

$$M_{a,b} = \{1\} \cup \{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} : n \equiv a \pmod{b}\}$$

for $a, b \geq 1$ satisfying $a^2 \equiv a \pmod{b}$. For instance, in

$$M_{1,4} = \{1, 5, 9, 13, \dots\},$$

Date: November 27, 2024.

the elements 9, 21, and 49 are all atoms, and consequently $441 = 9 \cdot 49 = 21^2$ admits two distinct factorizations (this particular monoid is known as the Hilbert monoid, as he used it to exhibit non-unique factorization). Since their introduction in [6], arithmetical congruence monoids (ACMs for short) have garnered some attention in the factorization theory community as a source of “naturally-occurring” monoids with a surprising propensity to exhibit pathological factorization behavior; see the survey [5] for an overview of the known factorization properties of ACMs and a number of lingering questions.

The second family of monoids are *numerical semigroups*, which are additive submonoids of $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ with finite complement. Any numerical semigroup S has finitely many atoms g_1, \dots, g_k , in which case we write

$$S = \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle = \{z_1 g_1 + \dots + z_k g_k : z_1, \dots, z_k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}.$$

Note that, unlike ACMs, the operation on a numerical semigroup is **addition**; as such, a factorization of $n \in S$ is an additive expression of the form

$$n = z_1 g_1 + \dots + z_k g_k$$

for some $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^k$. Numerical semigroups have long arisen in countless settings across the mathematical spectrum, including combinatorics, algebra, number theory, polyhedral geometry, and discrete optimization; we direct the reader to the monographs [4, 17] for a thorough introduction to numerical semigroups.

In this manuscript, we consider notions of factorization length derived from ℓ_p -norms for other values of $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$. More specifically, given a general monoid M (written multiplicatively), the *p-length* of the factorization in (1.1) is given by

$$\ell_p(\mathbf{z}) = z_1^p + \dots + z_k^p$$

if $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, which if $p > 0$ coincides with $(\|\mathbf{z}\|_p)^p$, and

$$\ell_\infty(\mathbf{z}) = \|\mathbf{z}\|_\infty = \max(z_1, \dots, z_k).$$

In the case $p = 1$, one obtains the usual notion of factorization length.

We focus our attention on the extremal p -lengths of factorizations for large monoid elements, drawing inspiration from the results of [3] that, under minimal assumptions on the monoid M and the element $x \in M$, the limits

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sup L(x)}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\min L(x)}{n}$$

both exist, though the former need not be finite (here, $L(x)$ denotes the set of (classical) lengths of factorizations of x). Our study focuses on numerical semigroups (Section 2), for which we derive asymptotic results of a combinatorial nature that are familiar in this setting [15], and arithmetical congruence monoids (Section 3), wherein our results demonstrate the subtlety of such asymptotic questions for more general monoids.

function	degree	period	leading coefficient
$\ell_0^m(n)$	0	$\text{lcm}(g_1, \dots, g_k)$	
$\ell_1^m(n)$	1	g_k	$1/g_k$
$\ell_2^m(n)$	2	$g_1^2 + \dots + g_k^2$	$1/(g_1^2 + \dots + g_k^2)$
$\ell_\infty^m(n)$	1	$g_1 + \dots + g_k$	$1/(g_1 + \dots + g_k)$
$\ell_0^M(n)$	0	1	k
$\ell_{p \geq 1}^M(n)$	p	g_1	$1/g_1$
$\ell_\infty^M(n)$	1	g_1	$1/g_1$

TABLE 1. The eventually quasipolynomial attributes of $\ell_p^m(n)$ and $\ell_p^M(n)$ for $p \in [0, \infty]$ over a numerical semigroup $S = \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle$.

2. NUMERICAL SEMIGROUPS

Fix a numerical semigroup $S = \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle$. Given $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{Z}^k$, define

$$\ell_\infty(\mathbf{z}) = \max\{z_1, \dots, z_k\} \quad \text{and} \quad \ell_p(\mathbf{z}) = z_1^p + \dots + z_k^p \quad \text{for } p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},$$

and for $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$, define

$$\ell_p^M(n) = \max\{\ell_p(\mathbf{z}) : \mathbf{z} \in Z(n)\} \quad \text{and} \quad \ell_p^m(n) = \min\{\ell_p(\mathbf{z}) : \mathbf{z} \in Z(n)\}$$

for each $n \in S$, where

$$Z(n) = \{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^k : n = z_1 n_1 + \dots + z_k n_k\}$$

is the *set of factorizations* of n in S .

For a fixed numerical semigroup, the asymptotic behavior of $\ell_p^m(n)$ and $\ell_p^M(n)$ often take a particularly nice combinatorial form. We say a function $f : \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a *quasipolynomial* if there exist periodic functions $c_d(n), c_{d-1}(n), \dots, c_0(n)$, with c_d not identically 0, such that

$$f(n) = c_d(n)n^d + \dots + c_1(n)n + c_0(n);$$

in this case, the *degree* of f is d and the *period* of f is the least common multiple of the periods of the $c_i(n)$. We say f is *eventually quasipolynomial* if there exists a quasipolynomial $g(n)$ such that $f(n) = g(n)$ for all $n \gg 0$ (that is, for all but finitely many $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$).

Quasipolynomial functions often arise in the context of numerical semigroups; see [15] for a survey of such results, and [8] for geometric interpretations of this phenomenon. Theorems 2.1, 2.4, 2.6, 2.8, and 2.10 imply each of the functions in Table 1 are eventually quasipolynomial functions of n with the specified degree, period, and constant leading coefficient.

Results for $p = 0$ and $p = 1$ appeared in [2, 7]; we state them here for completeness. In what follows, let

$$F(S) = \max(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \setminus S)$$

denote the *Frobenius number* of S .

Theorem 2.1. *Fix a numerical semigroup $S = \langle g_1, \dots, g_k \rangle$.*

(a) *For $n > (g_1 - 1)g_k$, we have*

$$\ell_1^m(n) = \ell_1^m(n - g_k) + 1$$

As such, $\ell_1^m(n)$ is eventually quasilinear with period g_k and leading coefficient $\frac{1}{g_k}$.

(b) *For $n > (g_{k-1} - 1)g_k$, we have*

$$\ell_1^M(n) = \ell_1^M(n - g_1) + 1$$

As such, $\ell_1^M(n)$ is eventually quasilinear with period g_1 and leading coefficient $\frac{1}{g_1}$.

(c) *For $n > g_k^2$, $\ell_0^m(n)$ is periodic with period $\text{lcm}(g_1, \dots, g_k)$.*

(d) *For $n > F(S) + g_1 + \dots + g_k$, we have $\ell_0^M(n) = k$.*

Proof. Parts (a) and (b) follow from [7, Theorem 4.3] and [7, Theorem 4.2], respectively. Additionally, part (c) follows from [2, Theorem 12] and the bounds on $F(S)$ in [16]. Lastly, part (d) follows from the fact that every specified values of n has a factorization involving all of the generators of S . \square

Next, we consider $p = \infty$. The results of [13] strengthen Theorem 2.1(a) and (b) to include an interpretation of the values the periodic constant coefficient takes. Analogously, in addition to proving $\ell_\infty^m(n)$ and $\ell_\infty^M(n)$ are eventually quasipolynomial and determining their leading coefficients, we identify an interpretation of the values taken by their respective periodic constant terms.

The *Apéry set* of a numerical semigroup S with respect to an element $m \in S$ is

$$\text{Ap}(S; n) = \{n \in S : n - m \notin S\}.$$

It is known that $\text{Ap}(S; n)$ is comprised of the smallest element of each equivalence class modulo n , that is, $\text{Ap}(S; n) = \{0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$, where a_i is the smallest element of S with the property $a_i \equiv i \pmod{n}$ (see [4]).

In what follows, let

$$g = g_1 + \dots + g_k.$$

Lemma 2.2. *For any $n \in S$ and $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, if $n > cg$, then $\ell_\infty^m(n) > c$.*

Proof. Suppose $\ell_\infty^m(n) \leq c$. Some factorization $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{Z}(n)$ must have $z_i \leq c$ for all i , so

$$n = z_1 g_1 + \dots + z_k g_k \leq c g_1 + c g_2 + \dots + c g_k = cg.$$

The claim now follows. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Fix $n \in S$ with $n > g_1^2 g$. If a factorization $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{Z}(n)$ has $\ell_\infty(\mathbf{z}) = z_i$ for $i \in \{2, 3, \dots, k\}$, then there exists a factorization $\mathbf{z}' \in \mathbf{Z}(n)$ such that $\ell_\infty(\mathbf{z}') > \ell_\infty(\mathbf{z})$.*

Proof. We have $n > g_1^2 g$, so by Lemma 2.2, $\ell(\mathbf{z}) = z_i > g_1^2$. Write $z_i = qg_1 + r$ for $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $0 \leq r < g_1$, so in particular $q \geq g_1$. Trading qg_1 copies of g_i for qg_i copies of g_1 , we obtain a factorization $\mathbf{z}' \in \mathbf{Z}(n)$ with $z'_1 = z_1 + qg_i$, $z'_i = z_i - qg_1 = r \geq 0$, and $z'_j = z_j$ for all other j . We then readily check

$$z_1 + qg_i \geq qg_i \geq q(g_1 + 1) = qg_1 + q \geq qg_1 + g_1 > qg_1 + r = z_i,$$

so $z'_1 > z_i$, and therefore $\ell_\infty(\mathbf{z}') \geq z'_1 > z_i = \ell_\infty(\mathbf{z})$. \square

Theorem 2.4. Write $\text{Ap}(S; g_1) = \{a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots\}$ where each $a_j \equiv j \pmod{g_1}$. For all $n \in S$ with $n > g_1^2 g$ and $n \equiv i \pmod{g_1}$, we have

$$\ell_\infty^M(n) = \frac{1}{g_1}(n - a_i).$$

In particular, for all $n > g_1^2 g$, we have

$$\ell_\infty^M(n) = \ell_\infty^M(n - g_1) + 1.$$

Proof. Suppose $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{Z}(n)$ has maximal ∞ -norm. Since $n > g_1^2 g$, Lemma 2.3 implies

$$\ell_\infty^M(n) = \max\{z'_1 : \mathbf{z}' \in \mathbf{Z}(n)\}.$$

and in particular $\ell_\infty(\mathbf{z}) = z_1 \geq z'_1$ for all $\mathbf{z}' \in \mathbf{Z}(n)$. This means $n - z_1 g_1 \in \text{Ap}(S; g_1)$. Comparing equivalence classes modulo g_1 , this means $n - z_1 g_1 = a_i$, and solving yields

$$\ell_\infty^M(n) = \frac{1}{g_1}(n - a_i).$$

The final claim now immediately follows. \square

Lemma 2.5. If $a \in \text{Ap}(S; g)$, then $\ell_\infty^m(a) < g$.

Proof. Suppose $\ell_\infty^m(a) \geq g$. Then there is a factorization $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{Z}(a)$ such that $z_i \geq g$ for some i . This means $\mathbf{z}' \in \mathbf{Z}(a)$ given by

$$z'_j = \begin{cases} z_j + g_i - g & \text{if } j = i; \\ z_j + g_i & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

is a factorization of a since

$$(z_i + g_i - g)g_i + \sum_{j \neq i} (z_j + g_i)g_j = -g_i g + \sum_{i=1}^k (z_j + g_i)g_j = -g_i g + a + g_i g = a.$$

Since every coordinate of \mathbf{z}' is positive, $a - g \in S$, and thus $a \notin \text{Ap}(S; g)$. \square

Theorem 2.6. Write $\text{Ap}(S; g) = \{0, a_1, \dots, a_{g-1}\}$ with each $a_j \equiv j \pmod{g}$. Fix $n \in S$, and fix $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, g-1\}$ so that $i \equiv -n \pmod{g}$. Then

$$\ell_\infty^m(n) = \frac{1}{g}(n + a_i)$$

for all $n > g^2$. In particular, for all $n > g^2$, we have

$$\ell_\infty^m(n) = \ell_\infty^m(n - g) + 1.$$

Proof. Fix $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that $n = qg - a_i$. We claim $\ell_\infty^m(n) = q$.

We then get that $\ell_\infty^m(n + a_i) = l_\infty(qg) = q$, which is achieved by the factorization $(q, q, \dots, q) \in Z(qg)$. Now, any factorization $\mathbf{z}' \in Z(a_i)$ has $z_j < g$ for each j by Lemma 2.5, and since $n > g^2$, we must have $g < q$, so

$$(q, q, \dots, q) - \mathbf{z}' \in Z(qg - a_i) = Z(n),$$

and in particular $\ell_\infty^m(n) \leq q$.

Next, suppose by way of contradiction that $\ell_\infty^m(n) < q$. Then there is a factorization $\mathbf{z} \in Z(n)$ with $z_j < q$ for every j . However, this implies

$$(q, q, \dots, q) - \mathbf{z} \in Z(qg - n) = Z(a_i)$$

which is impossible since this factorization has no nonzero entries and $a_i \in \text{Ap}(S; g)$. As such, we conclude

$$\ell_\infty^m(n) = q = \frac{1}{g}(n + a_i),$$

from which the final claimed equality immediately follows. \square

Lastly, we next turn our attention to $2 \leq p < \infty$, for which the asymptotic form of $\ell_p^M(n)$ is again quasipolynomial, while the asymptotic form of $\ell_p^m(n)$ is more nuanced.

Lemma 2.7. *Fix $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$. For all $n \gg 0$, $\ell_p^M(n)$ is achieved by a factorization of n with maximal first coordinate.*

Proof. Fix $a \in \text{Ap}(S; g_1)$, and consider $n \in S$ with $n \equiv a \pmod{g_1}$. Writing $n = qg_1 + a$, it is the case that q is the largest first coordinate occurring in any factorization of n . Fix any factorization $\mathbf{z} \in Z(n)$ with $z_1 = q$, and fix $\mathbf{z}' \in Z(n)$ with $z'_1 < q$. We seek to prove that for $q \gg 0$, we have $\ell_p(\mathbf{z}) \geq \ell_p(\mathbf{z}')$.

A simple calculus exercise verifies that the maximum of $x_2^p + \dots + x_k^p$ for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{k-1}$ subject to the constraint $x_2g_2 + \dots + x_kg_k = n$ occurs when $\mathbf{x} = (\frac{1}{g_2}n, 0, \dots, 0)$. As such, letting $c = z'_1 - q$ and noting that (z'_2, \dots, z'_k) is a factorization of $n - z'_1g_1$ in the semigroup $\langle g_2, \dots, g_k \rangle$, we see

$$(2.1) \quad \ell_p(\mathbf{z}') \leq (q - c)^p + \left(\frac{1}{g_2}(n - z'_1g_1)\right)^p = (q - c)^p + \left(\frac{1}{g_2}(a + cg_1)\right)^p.$$

Now, for q sufficiently large,

$$\ell_p(\mathbf{z}) \geq q^p \geq (q - 1)^p + \left(\frac{a}{g_2} + \frac{g_1}{g_2}\right)^p$$

since $p \geq 2$ and $(\frac{a}{g_2} + \frac{g_1}{g_2})^p$ is constant. As such, to complete the proof, it suffices to show that the right hand side of (2.1) is maximized over real $c \in [1, q]$ when $c = 1$. Again using methods from calculus, there is a unique local extremum in $[1, q]$, and it is a local minimum, so the maximum value must be attained at either $c = 1$ or $c = q$. Indeed, for q sufficiently large, we obtain

$$(q - 1)^p + \left(\frac{a}{g_2} + \frac{g_1}{g_2}\right)^p \geq \left(\frac{a}{g_2} + q\frac{g_1}{g_2}\right)^p$$

as $g_2 > g_1$ ensures $(q - 1)^p - \left(\frac{a}{g_2} + q\frac{g_1}{g_2}\right)^p$ eventually surpasses the constant $\left(\frac{a}{g_2} + \frac{g_1}{g_2}\right)^p$. \square

Theorem 2.8. *If $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$, then $\ell_p^M(n)$ is eventually quasipolynomial with degree p , period g_1 , and constant leading coefficient $1/g_1^p$.*

Proof. Let $f(n)$ denote the largest first coordinate of any factorization in $Z(n)$, and

$$g(n) = \ell_p^M(n - f(n)g_1).$$

Since $n - f(n)g_1 \in \text{Ap}(S; g_1)$ by definition, $g(n)$ is periodic with period g_1 , and $f(n)$ is eventually quasilinear with period g_1 and leading coefficient $1/g_1$. Since $\ell_p^M(n)$ is achieved by a factorization with maximal first coordinate for $n \gg 0$ by Lemma 2.7,

$$\ell_p^M(n) = f(n)^p + g(n)$$

is quasipolynomial of degree p , period g_1 , and leading coefficient $1/g_1^p$. \square

We now examine $\ell_2^m(n)$. In what follows, let

$$N = g_1^2 + \cdots + g_k^2.$$

Proposition 2.9. *Fix $n \geq 0$. If $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ minimizes $\ell_2(\cdot)$ among all integer solutions to*

$$(2.2) \quad x_1g_1 + \cdots + x_kg_k = n,$$

then $\mathbf{z} + (g_1, \dots, g_k)$ minimizes $\ell_2(\cdot)$ among all integer solutions to

$$(2.3) \quad x_1g_1 + \cdots + x_kg_k = n + N.$$

Proof. A solution $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ to (2.2) minimizes $\ell_2(\cdot)$ if and only if

$$\ell_2(\mathbf{z}') - \ell_2(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{i=1}^k (z'_i)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^k z_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k 2z_id_i + d_i^2 \geq 0$$

for every other solution $\mathbf{z}' \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ to (2.2), where $\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{z}' - \mathbf{z}$. In particular, this holds if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^k 2z_id_i + d_i^2 \geq 0$$

for all $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ satisfying $d_1g_1 + \cdots + d_kg_k = 0$.

Suppose \mathbf{z} satisfies this property. For all \mathbf{d} satisfying $d_1g_1 + \cdots + d_kg_k = 0$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^k 2(z_i + g_i)d_i + d_i^2 = 2 \sum_{i=1}^k g_id_i + \sum_{i=1}^k 2z_id_i + d_i^2 \geq 0,$$

which implies that $\mathbf{z} + (g_1, \dots, g_k)$ minimizes $\ell_2(\cdot)$ among solutions to (2.3). \square

Theorem 2.10. *The function $\ell_2^m(n)$ is a quasipolynomial of degree 2 on $n \gg 0$, with period N and constant leading coefficient $1/N$.*

Proof. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, Proposition 2.9 implies the smallest coordinate of the integer solution to (2.3) minimizing $\ell_2(\cdot)$ is strictly larger than the smallest coordinate of the integer solution to (2.3) minimizing $\ell_2(\cdot)$. As such, one may choose $n \in S$ large enough to ensure some $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{Z}(n)$ minimizes $\ell_2(\cdot)$ over all integer solutions. We then have

$$\ell_2^m(n + N) - \ell_2^m(n) = \sum_{i=1}^k 2z_i g_i + g_i^2 = 2n + N,$$

and taking second differences yields

$$(\ell_2^m(n + 2N) - \ell_2^m(n + N)) - (\ell_2^m(n + N) - \ell_2^m(n)) = (2(n + N) + N) - (2n + N) = 2N,$$

which is independent of n . The result follows. \square

Example 2.11. It turns out $\ell_p^m(n)$ is not necessarily an eventual quasipolynomial for $3 \leq p < \infty$. For example, if $S = \langle 2, 3 \rangle$, then by a similar computation to the one used in the proof of Proposition 2.9, $\mathfrak{m}_3(n)$ is achieved by the solution $(c_1, c_2) \in \mathbf{Z}(n)$ with

$$c_1 = \left\lfloor \frac{-8 \pm n\sqrt{130}}{19} \right\rfloor.$$

This produces a formula for $\ell_3^m(n)$ involving the floor of an integer multiple of an irrational number, which cannot be eventually quasipolynomial.

3. ARITHMETICAL CONGRUENCE MONOIDS

In this section, we turn our attention to arithmetical congruence monoids. For a fixed ACM $M_{a,b}$, write

$$\mathbf{Z}(x) = \{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^k : x = u_1^{z_1} \cdots u_k^{z_k} \text{ for some } k \geq 0 \text{ and distinct atoms } u_1, \dots, u_k \in M_{a,b}\}$$

for the set of *factorization tuples* of x , for each $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \cup \{\infty\}$ define

$$\ell_p^M(x) = \max\{\ell_p(\mathbf{z}) : \mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{Z}(x)\} \quad \text{and} \quad \ell_p^m(x) = \min\{\ell_p(\mathbf{z}) : \mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{Z}(x)\}$$

for each $x \in M_{a,b}$.

Given the aperiodic nature of primes in \mathbb{Z} , one would expect that the above functions will not be quasipolynomial like their analogues for numerical semigroups. As such, our results in this section seek only to determine asymptotic growth rate. For each $p \in \{0, 1, \infty\}$, the asymptotics of one of the two functions is straightforward to identify.

Theorem 3.1. *For each fixed $x \in M_{a,b}$ with $x > 1$, we have*

$$\ell_1^M(x^n) \in \Theta(n), \quad \ell_\infty^M(x^n) \in \Theta(n), \quad \text{and} \quad \ell_0^m(x^n) \in \Theta(1).$$

Proof. Fix a factorization $x = u_1^{z_1} \cdots u_k^{z_k}$, where $u_1, \dots, u_k \in M_{a,b}$ are distinct irreducible elements, and let k' denote the number of primes in the usual factorization of $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since

$$x^n = u_1^{nz_1} \cdots u_k^{nz_k}$$

for each $n \geq 1$, we see

$$n \leq \ell_\infty^M(x^n) \leq \ell_1^M(x^n) \leq k'n \quad \text{and} \quad \ell_0^m(x^n) \leq k.$$

All 3 claims follow from the above bounds. \square

If $a = 1$, the ACM $M_{a,b}$ is said to be *regular*; in this case, it is known that for fixed $x \in M_{a,b}$ with $x > 1$, the set of irreducible elements dividing any power x^n is finite (this follows from the fact that $M_{a,b}$ is Krull with finite class group; we refer the reader to [5, Section 3] for details). We record the following consequences of this fact.

Theorem 3.2. *If $a = 1$, then for each fixed $x \in M_{a,b}$ with $x > 1$, we have*

$$\ell_1^m(x^n) \in \Theta(n), \quad \ell_\infty^m(x^n) \in \Theta(n), \quad \text{and} \quad \ell_0^M(x^n) \in \Theta(1).$$

In contrast to Theorem 3.1 and the results of Section 2, for *singular* (i.e., non-regular) ACMs the asymptotics of $\ell_1^m(x^n)$ and $\ell_\infty^m(x^n)$, viewed as functions of n , need not grow linearly in n , and $\ell_0^M(x^n)$ need not be bounded.

Example 3.3. The singular ACM $M_{6,6}$ is bifurcus, meaning every reducible element can be written as a product of just two atoms [1], so

$$\ell_\infty^m(x) \leq \ell_1^m(x) \leq 2$$

for all $x \in M_{6,6}$. This implies $\ell_\infty^m(x^n) \in \Theta(1)$ and $\ell_1^m(x^n) \in \Theta(1)$ as functions of n .

For the remainder of this section, we turn our attention to the singular ACM $M_{4,6}$ and elements of the form $x = 2^a 5^b 7^c$ for $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. After recalling a known characterization of the relevant atoms of $M_{4,6}$, we demonstrate that the asymptotic growth rate of $\ell_\infty^m(x^n)$ and $\ell_1^m(x^n)$ matches that of regular ACMs, while the asymptotic behavior of $\ell_0^M(x^n)$ depends on x even in this restrictive setting.

Lemma 3.4. *An integer $u = 2^a 5^b 7^c$ lies in $M_{4,6}$ if and only if $a \geq 1$ and $a + b$ is even. Moreover, u is irreducible if and only if one of the following holds:*

- (i) $a = 2$ and $b = 0$; or
- (ii) $a = 1$ and b is odd.

Proof. This follows from [6] and [5, Example 4.10], which each give a full characterization of the atoms of $M_{4,6}$. \square

Theorem 3.5. *For fixed $x = 2^a 5^b 7^c \in M_{4,6}$ with $a \geq 1$, we have*

$$\ell_\infty^m(x^n) \in \Theta(n) \quad \text{and} \quad \ell_1^m(x^n) \in \Theta(n).$$

Proof. In what follows, we say an atom $u = 2^p 5^q 7^r \in M_{4,6}$ is *good* if $a(q+r) \leq 3p(b+c)$, and *evil* otherwise. Note that $M_{4,6}$ has only finitely many good atoms since $p \leq 2$ and b and c are fixed. Fix a factorization

$$x^n = u_1 \cdots u_k u'_1 \cdots u'_m$$

of x^n into (not necessarily distinct) good atoms u_1, \dots, u_k and evil atoms u'_1, \dots, u'_m . We claim $2k \geq m$. Indeed, write each

$$u_i = 2^{p_i} 5^{q_i} 7^{r_i} \quad \text{and} \quad u'_j = 2^{p'_j} 5^{q'_j} 7^{r'_j},$$

let $P = p_1 + \cdots + p_k$ and $P' = p'_1 + \cdots + p'_m$, and define Q, Q', R , and R' analogously. By the above factorization for x^n ,

$$a(Q' + R') \leq a(Q + R + Q' + R') = \frac{1}{n}a(b+c) = (P + P')(b+c),$$

and since each u'_j is evil and each $p_i, p'_j \in \{1, 2\}$,

$$3m(b+c) \leq 3P'(b+c) < a(Q' + R') \leq (P + P')(b+c) \leq 2(k+m)(b+c),$$

from which the inequality $m \leq 2k$ follows.

Having shown this, letting G denote the number of good atoms in $M_{4,6}$, the pigeon-hole principle ensures that any factorization of x^n must have at least $\frac{1}{3G}n$ copies of some good atom, so

$$\frac{1}{3G}n \leq \ell_\infty^m(x^n) \leq \ell_1^m(x^n) \leq \ell_1^M(x^n)$$

ensures $\ell_\infty^m(x^n) \in \Theta(n)$ and $\ell_1^m(x^n) \in \Theta(n)$ by Theorem 3.1. \square

Proposition 3.6. *If $x = 28 = 2^2 \cdot 7$ or $x = 40 = 2^3 \cdot 5$ in $M_{4,6}$, then $\ell_0^M(x^n) \in \Theta(n^{1/2})$.*

Proof. First, consider $x = 28$. Let $T_k = \binom{k+1}{2}$ denote the k -th triangular number. We claim if $n \in [T_k, T_{k+1})$ for $k \geq 2$, then $\ell_0^M(x^n) = k+1$. Indeed,

$$x^n = (2^2 7)^n = (2^2)^{n-k-1} (2^2 7) (2^2 7^2) \cdots (2^2 7^k) (2^2 7^{n-T_k}).$$

is a factorization by Lemma 3.4, and the first $k+1$ atoms are distinct, so we obtain a lower bound $\ell_0^M(x^n) \geq k+1$. Similarly, by Lemma 3.4, any atom dividing x^n must be of the form $2^2 7^i$ for some $i \geq 0$. If a factorization of x^n had at least $k+2$ distinct atoms, then each such atom must have a distinct number of 7's in its prime factorization, and the resulting expression for x^n would contain at least

$$0 + 1 + 2 + \cdots + k + (k+1) = T_{k+1}$$

copies of 7, which is impossible if $n < T_{k+1}$. This ensures $\ell_0^M(x^n) = k+1$.

Next, consider $x = 40$. We claim if $n \in [k^2, (k+1)^2)$, then $\ell_0^M(x^n) = k+1$. Indeed, by Lemma 3.4, any atom dividing x^n must either be 4 or of the form $2 \cdot 5^i$ for some odd $i \geq 1$. If a factorization of x^n had at least $k+2$ distinct atoms, then each such atom must have a distinct number of 5's in its prime factorization, and the resulting expression for x^n would contain at least

$$0 + 1 + 3 + \cdots + (2k-1) + (2k+1) = (k+1)^2$$

copies of 5, which is impossible if $n < (k+1)^2$. This ensures $\ell_0^M(x^n) \leq k+1$. To ensure equality, we verify that if $n \not\equiv k \pmod 2$, then

$$x^n = (2^3 5)^n = (2^2)^{(n-k-1)/2} (2 \cdot 5)(2 \cdot 5^3)(2 \cdot 5^5) \cdots (2 \cdot 5^{2k-1})(2 \cdot 5^{n-k^2})$$

is a factorization for x^n , while if $n \equiv k \pmod 2$, then

$$x^n = (2^3 5)^n = (2^2)^{(n-k-2)/2} (2 \cdot 5)^2 (2 \cdot 5^3)(2 \cdot 5^5) \cdots (2 \cdot 5^{2k-1})(2 \cdot 5^{n-k^2-1})$$

is a factorization. Each expression contains at least $k+1$ atoms, so $\ell_0^M(x^n) = k+1$. \square

Proposition 3.7. *If $x = 70 = 2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \in M_{4,6}$, then $\ell_0^M(x^n) \in \Theta(n^{2/3})$.*

Proof. Consider expressions of the form

$$x^n = cu_1 \cdots u_k u'_1 \cdots u'_m,$$

where $u_1, \dots, u_k, u'_1, \dots, u'_m$ are distinct positive integers with $u_i = 2^2 7^{p_i}$ for each i and $u'_j = 2 \cdot 5^{q_j} 7^{r_j}$ for each j , and c is any positive integer. Note that any factorization of x^n in $M_{4,6}$ is an expression of the above form with 0-norm $k+m$, so any upper bound on $k+m$ in expressions of the above form is an upper bound for $\ell_0^M(x^n)$.

Now, by a similar argument to the first half of the proof of Proposition 3.6, by counting the total number of 7's in $u_1 \cdots u_k$, we see $k \in O(n^{1/2})$. Similarly, there are $a+1$ possible values for each u'_j with exactly a total copies of 5 and 7, so if $m \geq \binom{a+1}{2}$, then examining the total number of 5's and 7's in $u'_1 \cdots u'_m$, we see

$$\sum_{i=1}^a i(i+1) \leq q_1 + \cdots + q_m + r_1 + \cdots + r_m \leq 2n$$

meaning $m \in O(n^{2/3})$. We conclude $k+m \in O(n^{2/3})$, and thus $\ell_0^M(x^n) \in O(n^{2/3})$.

Conversely, observe that for each even $k \geq 2$, we may choose c appropriately so that

$$x^n = (2^2)^c \prod_{a=1}^k \prod_{i=1}^a (2 \cdot 5^{2i+1} 7^{2a-2i-1})$$

is a factorization of x^n , with $\binom{k+1}{2} + 1$ distinct atoms, for some $n \leq k^3$. As such, we conclude $\ell_0^M(x^n) \in \Theta(n^{2/3})$. \square

In view of the above, we pose the following question.

Question 3.8. *Given a singular ACM $M_{a,b}$ and $x \in M_{a,b}$ with $x > 1$, determine the asymptotic behavior of $\ell_0^M(x^n)$, $\ell_1^n(x^n)$, and $\ell_\infty^m(x^n)$ as functions of n .*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors were supported by NSF grant DMS-1851542, and made use of the software packages [9, 12, 14] throughout this work.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Adams, R. Ardila, D. Hannasch, A. Kosh, H. McCarthy, V. Ponomarenko, and R. Rosenbaum, *Bifurcus semigroups and rings*, *Involve* **2** (2009), no. 3, 351–356.
- [2] I. Aliev, J. De Loera, C. O’Neill, and T. Oertel, *Sparse solutions of linear Diophantine equations*, *SIAM Journal on Applied Algebra and Geometry* **1** (2017), no. 1, 239–253.
- [3] D. F. Anderson and P. Pruis, *Length functions on integral domain*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **113** (1991), 933–937.
- [4] A. Assi and P. García-Sánchez, *Numerical semigroups and applications*, RSME Springer Series, 1. Springer, [Cham], 2016.
- [5] P. Baginski and S. Chapman, *Arithmetic congruence monoids: a survey*, *Combinatorial and additive number theory - CANT 2011 and 2012*, 15–38, Springer Proc. Math. Stat., 101, Springer, New York, 2014.
- [6] M. Banister, J. Chaika, S. Chapman, and W. Meyerson, *On the arithmetic of arithmetical congruence monoids*, *Colloq. Math.* **108** (2007), no. 1, 105–118.
- [7] T. Barron, C. O’Neill, and R. Pelayo, *On the set of elasticities in numerical monoids*, *Semigroup Forum* **94** (2017), no. 1, 37–50.
- [8] M. Beck and S. Robins, *Computing the continuous discretely. Integer-point enumeration in polyhedra*, Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, New York, 2007.
- [9] M. Delgado, P. García-Sánchez, and J. Morais, *NumericalSgps*, *A package for numerical semigroups*, Version 1.1.10 (2018), (Refereed GAP package), <https://gap-packages.github.io/numericalsgps/>.
- [10] A. Geroldinger, *Sets of lengths*, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **123** (2016), no. 10, 960–988.
- [11] A. Geroldinger and F. Halter-Koch, *Nonunique factorization: Algebraic, Combinatorial, and Analytic Theory*, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2006.
- [12] J. Hartzler and C. O’Neill, *ArithmeticalCongruenceMonoid* (Sage software), <https://github.com/coneill-math/acm-sage>.
- [13] G. Moskowicz and C. O’Neill, *The structure theorem for sets of length for numerical semigroups*, to appear, *Journal of Commutative Algebra*. Available at arXiv:2311.05786
- [14] C. O’Neill, *numsgps-sage* (Sage software), <https://github.com/coneill-math/numsgps-sage>.
- [15] C. O’Neill and R. Pelayo, *Factorization invariants in numerical monoids*, *Contemporary Mathematics* **685** (2017), 231–249.
- [16] J. Ramírez Alfonsín, *The Diophantine Frobenius problem*, Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, 30. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2005. xvi+243 pp. ISBN: 978-0-19-856820-9; 0-19-856820-7
- [17] J. Rosales and P. García-Sánchez, *Numerical Semigroups*, Developments in Mathematics, Vol. 20, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2009.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, TRINITY UNIVERSITY, SAN ANTONIO, TX 78212

Email address: schapma3@trinity.edu

MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS DEPARTMENT, WILLIAMS COLLEGE, WILLIAMSTOWN, MA 01267

Email address: ebd3@williams.edu

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, SAN DIEGO STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN DIEGO, CA 92182

Email address: shgaskari@gmail.com

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, SAN DIEGO STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN DIEGO, CA 92182

Email address: rlycan0041@sdsu.edu

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN, AUSTIN, TX 78712

Email address: sarahpaolam11@gmail.com

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, SAN DIEGO STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN DIEGO, CA 92182

Email address: cdoneill@sdsu.edu

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, SAN DIEGO STATE UNIVERSITY, SAN DIEGO, CA 92182

Email address: vponomarenko@sdsu.edu